

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES EDWARD BROWN,

Plaintiff,

v.

S.H. WONG,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-01673-DAD-JDP (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

(Doc. Nos. 70, 78)

Plaintiff Charles Edward Brown is a former state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 15, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that defendant's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 70) be granted because based upon the evidence before the court on summary judgment "no reasonable juror could find that defendant acted with deliberate indifference." (Doc. No. 78 at 8.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.*) No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

////

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, the
2 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
3 court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper
4 analysis.

5 Accordingly:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on May 15, 2023 (Doc. No. 78) are
7 adopted in full;
- 8 2. Defendant's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 70) is granted;
- 9 3. Judgment shall be entered in favor of defendant; and
- 10 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: July 25, 2023

13 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE